UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,598	09/26/2003	Ulrich R. Bernier	0184.03	9892
25295 USDA, ARS, C	7590 08/21/200 DTT	9	EXAMINER	
5601 SUNNYS			CHOI, FRANK I	
RM 4-1159 BELTSVILLE,	MD 20705-5131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,598	BERNIER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Examiner FRANK I. CHOI	Art Unit 1616	

	FRANK I. CHOI	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.174. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor  owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 43,44,48 and 51. Claim(s) rejected: 45-47,49 and 52-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	planation of				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		•					
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but Since the Amendment has not been entered, rejection of maintained for the reasons set forth in the prior Office Act</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>	f claims 45-47, 49 and 52-55 and ol otion (4/15/2009)						
	/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1616					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment raises the issue of 35 USC 112-1st paragraph scope of enablement because the claims now include any mosquito as opposed to Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus or Anophele albimanus whereas it is known that even as to different species of mosquitoes that the claimed compounds can have repellant activity, attractant activity or be non-active.